

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/661,175	09/12/2003	Clarence E. Thomas	068062.0168	068062.0168 7513	
31625 75	90 03/14/2006		EXAMINER		
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.			LEE, HWA S		
PATENT DEPA	RTMENT				
98 SAN JACINTO BLVD., SUITE 1500 AUSTIN, TX 78701-4039			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2877	*	

DATE MAILED: 03/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/661,175	THOMAS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	(m)			
	Andrew Hwa S. Lee	2877				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Max</u>	arch 2006.					
,	action is non-final.					
,-	<u></u>					
closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers	·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents)-(d) or (f).				
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			Stage			
application from the International Bureau			J			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
o						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F	ate	O-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4sheets</u> .						

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-12 in the reply filed on 3/8/06 is acknowledged. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, and 5-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka et al (US 2002/0145773).

For claims 1 and 8, Tanaka et al (Tanaka hereinafter) show a hologram recording apparatus and method comprising:

an illumination lens (Lr) operable to focus a reference beam;

a beam splitter (13) optically coupled to the illumination lens by the reference beam; and a reference mirror (45) located at a waist of the reference beam, the reference beam mirror operable to eliminate a reference objective.

Although Tanaka may not <u>expressly</u> state the functional use of the elements, such as the use of word "operable", a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a

Art Unit: 2877

distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

With regards to claim 2, Tanaka only shows a schematic drawing of a beamsplitter and does not expressly state to use a cube beam splitter. Official Notice is taken that cube beam splitters are well known in the art and at the time of the invention one of ordinary skill in the art would have used a cube beam splitter since a cube beam splitter is notoriously well known for splitting beams.

With regards to claim 3, Tanaka shows a ¼ wave plate (paragraph [0101].

With regards to claim 5, Tanaka shows the reference mirror comprises a flat mirror (45).

With regards to claims 6 and 11, Tanaka shows the reference mirror operable to maintain optical symmetry of a reference arm and a target arm.

With regards to claims 7 and 10, Tanaka shows the reference mirror operable to form a first wavefront substantially similar to a second wavefront formed by the reference objective. (paragraph [0059])

With regards to claim 9, Tanaka shows the reference mirror operable to replace a reference objective.

3. Claims 4 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka as applied to claims 1 and 8 above, and further in view of Zgonik (US 6,781,725).

Zgonik shows confocal holographic optical storage having reference mirror at the waist of the reference beam wherein the laser beam (an thus the reference beam too) is a Gaussian beam (column 4, lines 36+). At the time of the invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have used a laser source emitting a Gaussian beam for the properties such as least dilation by refraction.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Hwa S. Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-2419. The examiner can normally be reached on Tue-Fr.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory J. Toatley Jr. can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext 77. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,175

Art Unit: 2877

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew Hwa Lee Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2877